UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/663,532	09/16/2003	Paul Brent Rivers	BE1-0028US 3579			
⁴⁹⁵⁸⁴ LEE & HAYES	7590 08/23/200 S, PLLC	7	EXAMINER			
421 W. RIVER		PATEL, TAJASH D				
SUITE 500 SPOKANE, W	A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3765			
						
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H							
<u>, </u>		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/663,532		RIVERS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Tejash D. Patel		3765				
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
1	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 16(a). In no event, however, rill apply and will expire SIX (in cause the application to bec	MUNICATION may a reply be tim 6) MONTHS from ome ABANDONEI	i. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Stat	us							
2	 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	•		e merits is			
Disp	position of Claims							
;	4) Claim(s) 1,4,6-18 and 23 is/are pending in the 4 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4,6-18 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideratio						
	olication Papers				•			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	•	ed to by the F	Evaminer				
ſ	,	• •	•					
1	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Prio	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
۵ttar	chment(s)			•				
1) 🗵	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap						

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 10-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldridge et al. (US 6,038,700). Aldridge et al. (hereinafter Aldridge) discloses an upper body garment/jacket/coat/vest/shirt (10) including a base fabric/outer shell (26) having front and rear portions that has opening for the arms and the head and has a breast portion on the front portion, such that a first, substantially rectangular, shock absorbing protective panel (12) is attached to the left breast portion of the base fabric as shown in figure 1, which protects the upper body portion during descent from a vertical surface.

Additionally, a second, substantially rectangular, shock absorbing protective panel is attached to the right breast portion of the base in a non-overlapping configuration as shown in figure 1. Also, the garment includes a collar portion (20). The first and second panels are positioned completely over the left and right breast portion of the base fabric as shown in figure 1 and is made aramid fiber/KEVLAR, col. 4, lines 12-27. Furthermore, a pair of sleeves (14, 16) is attached to the base fabric for receiving the arms and a third protective panel defined by the liner (28) is attached to the forearm portion of each sleeve as shown in figure 5.

Art Unit: 3765

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

Page 3

recognize that the protective panels of Aldridge made of aramid fibers can be formed from any

desired strength, denier, weight, warp count, fill count, etc through routine experimentation or

depending on the end use thereof.

5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldridge

in view of Parker et al. (US 5,247,707). Aldridge discloses the invention as set forth above

except for showing loops being attached to the front portion of the garment and having the

bottom of the garment being attached to a safety belt by loops.

Parker et al. (hereinafter Parker) discloses loops (16) being attached to a front portion of a

garment and having the bottom of the garment being attached to a safety belt (B) by loops (14) as

shown in figure 1

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

provide the garment of Aldridge having loops being attached to the front portion of the garment

and having the bottom of the garment being attached to a safety belt

by loops as taught by Parker, so that desired items can be carried by the wearer while load is

evenly distributed about the body or as required for a particular application thereof.

Art Unit: 3765

Response to Amendment

6. The amendment and arguments filed on June 27, 2007 has been considered and duly noted. In view of such, the amendment has prompted a newly discover prior art to be applied thus making this office action new-non final and the arguments are moot.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

August 16, 2007

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER